

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/067,181	MARTIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Luke Gilligan	3626

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Luke Gilligan.

(3) David Martin

(2) Dan Thomson.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 November 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.

If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 1-19.

Identification of prior art discussed: Newman and Bexar.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.


Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See below.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Discussed proposed claim language & to claim 1 that would distinguish over the applied prior art. Applicant will determine whether to make changes to claims 2 and 8. The Examiner will reconsider the claims in view of any formally submitted amendments.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required